

ORD 1023  
10-18-12  
46

**From:** MOORE Fredrick  
**To:** Bath, Bill; Cole, Connie  
**Subject:** Lockheed Martin Update  
**Date:** Tuesday, May 29, 2012 5:00:35 PM

---

Hi Bill and Connie, not too much to report but here's some little things.

We talked in-house about the NWA NFA and focused mostly on EPA's comments. The staff felt collectively that we had an answer to the comments so we didn't feel anything different than what we've reported before. So the staff consensus was to issue the NFA, but now upper management need to huddle for the final decision.

Carla Fisher called Lissa and said there are 4 significant issues with the RCRA permit: 1. Groundwater (Christy to call me later to set up another meeting). Don't know if the issue is statistics, monitoring, etc.) 2. Treatment at the tank and landfill (making the permit an active permit, therefore drawing in a contingency plan, etc.? – not exactly sure) 3. Not meeting operation and maintenance requirements (the CERCLA O&M requirements? RCRA requirements?) By phone we are meeting with EPA tomorrow for more about this. and 4. Said treatment issues would be raised to upper EPA management then those would be relayed to Wendy (our Land Quality Administrator) (which concerns Lissa because Wendy knows nothing about Lockheed treatment issues)

So I do remember Lockheed offering to do away with the "treatment" at the landfill and leachate tank, but I think the reality is that EPA wants to bluster and would find another issue if they didn't have this one. So I am keeping it in the permit. Kind of disappointed that showing the "treatment" to the Linda Meyer at EPA didn't have more of a beneficial effect. Oh well.

I have updated the draft Lockheed permit to partially address some of EPA's comments. When Lissa takes a look at it, I'll forward to you and EPA.

Cheers, Fredrick

Fredrick Moore  
DEQ Eastern Region  
475 NE Bellevue Dr.  
Bend, OR 97701

541.633.2011  
moore.fredrick@deq.state.or.us